The Anti-Markovnikov Hydrobromination of Alkenes Using a Hydroboration-Transmetallation-Bromination Reaction Sequence

By JOSEPH J. TUFARIELLO* and MARTIN M. HOVEY

(Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14214)

Summary The unsym-trialkylboranes derived from alkl-enes and dicyclohexyl- or bis-(1,2-dimethylpropyl)borane can be treated with aqueous alkaline mercuric oxide followed by *in situ* bromination to afford good yields of primary alkyl halides.

ONE long-recognized potential route to alkyl halides involves the *anti*-Markovnikov hydrohalogenation of alkenes through the intermediacy of the corresponding organoboranes. A convenient synthesis of primary alkyl iodides from the cleavage of trialkyl-boranes with iodine in the presence of sodium hydroxide has been reported;¹ however, all other direct,² or indirect³ halogenations of organoboranes are exceedingly inefficient.

We report a convenient route to primary alkyl bromides from the bromination of the organomercurials derived from transmetallation reactions involving *unsym*-trialkylboranes and mercuric oxide.

 $\mathrm{R_2B\text{-}n\text{-}alkyl} + \mathrm{HgO} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{H_2O}]{\mathrm{OH^-}} (\mathrm{n\text{-}alkyl})_2\mathrm{Hg} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Br_2}} \mathrm{n\text{-}alkyl\text{-}Br}$

The transmetallation of sym-trialkylboranes with mercuric oxide has been described;⁴ however, only a maximum of two of the alkyl groups can be transferred from trialkylboranes to mercury (*i.e.* to afford dialkylmercurials). This sharply limits the yields of alkyl bromides obtainable from sym-trialkylboranes. We have found, however, that primary alkyl groups exhibit a pronounced transmetallation aptitude over s- or t-alkyl groups in the organoboranemercuric oxide reaction. This has enabled us to hydroborate terminal olefins with the selective hydroborating agents (*e.g.* dicyclohexyl-⁵ or bis-(1,2-dimethylpropyl)borane⁵) and remove the primary alkyl groups selectively with mercuric oxide. Subsequent bromination of the resultant dialkylmercurials in situ provides an efficient synthesis of primary alkyl bromides.

Anti-Markovnikov hydrobromination of olefins by hydroborationtransmetallation-bromination sequence

Alkene	Product	Yield,ª %
hex-1-ene	1-bromohexane	22 ^b
hex-l-ene	1-bromohexane	75°
hex-l-ene	1-bromohexane	73ª
hex-l-ene	1-bromohexane	44e
non-1-ene	1-bromononane	77°
3,3-dimethylbut-1-ene	3,3-dimethyl-1-bromo- butane	42°
cyclohexene	bromocyclohexane	20 ^b

^a The yields, determined by g.l.p.c., are based on starting olefin in a reaction using a 1.5: 1 molar ratio of mercuric oxide to trialkylborane. ^b The alkene was hydroborated using borane in tetrahydrofuran to the trialkylborane stage. ^c The alkene was hydroborated using dicyclohexylborane. ^d The alkene was hydroborated with bis-(1,2-dimethylpropyl)borane. ^e The alkene was hydroborated with 1,1,2-trimethylpropylborane.

The Table summarizes the results for a series of representative alkenes. It can be readily seen that primary alkyl bromides can be obtained in good yield (approx. 75%) from the transmetallation-bromination of the unsymtrialkylboranes⁵ derived from a alk-1-ene and dicyclohexylor bis-(1,2-dimethylpropyl)-borane. In each case, the yield of alkyl bromide corresponds to the transfer of approximately one of the boron-bound groups. This corresponds to the transmetallation efficiency observed⁴ for the reaction between mercuric oxide and tri-n-hexylborane to produce di-nhexylmercury, where only 33% of the boron-bound alkyl groups were transferred to mercury.

In each of the reactions of unsym-trialkylboranes with mercuric oxide, bromination resulted in the formation of only primary alkyl bromide and in no case was more than a trace of s- or t-alkyl bromide detected.

We thank the U.S. Army Research Office (Durham) for generous support of this research.

(Received, February 2nd, 1970; Com. 161.)

¹ H. C. Brown, M. W. Rathke, and M. M. Rogić, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5038.
² (a) J. R. Johnson, H. R. Snyder, and M. G. Van Campen, jun., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1938, 60, 115; (b) L. H. Long and D. Dollimore, J. Chem. Soc., 1953, 3902, 3906.
³ J. Sharefkin and H. Banks, J. Org. Chem., 1965, 30, 4313.
⁴ J. Honeycutt and J. Riddle, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 2593; *ibid.*, 82, 3051 (1960).

⁵ H. C. Brown and A. W. Moerikofer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 1478.